IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

LETTERS PATENT APPEAL No 781 of 1997

WTTH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6757 OF 1997

ΤN

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 10645 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL and

MR.JUSTICE S.M.SONI

- Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

MEENABEN K PARMAR

Versus

GUJARAT RAJYA KHADI GRAMODHYOGBOARD

Appearance:

MR AL SHARMA for appellants

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL and

MR.JUSTICE S.M.SONI

Date of decision: 24/09/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Being aggrieved by the decision rendered by the learned Single Judge (Coram: R.M. Doshit, J.) in Spl.

- C.A. No. 10645 of 1996, the appellant has preferred this appeal.
- 2. The petitioner has approached the trial Court and has obtained ad-interim injunction against the order of termination; It appears that the said suit is pending. Thereafter the petition is filed in this Court.
- 3. Before the learned Single Judge, the petitioners contended that though the petitioners' name were sponsored by the Employment Exchange and after having been interviewed and screened by the Selection Committee, they were selected for the post of Peon. However, we find from the judgement of the learned Single Judge that the petitioners were not selected for the post of Peon but were merely placed on the wait list. Learned Single Judge has observed, and rightly so, that the petitioners do not have right to appointment merely on account of their selection or placement in the wait list.
- 4. In the result, we find no substance in this appeal, and the same stands dismissed summarily. Consequently, the Civil Application does not survive and the same stands disposed of accordingly.